

Criteria for the evaluation of the Bachelor's thesis

Revised: on 13 June 2012

| | 5 (Excellent) | 4 (Good) | 3 (Good) | 2 (Satisfactory) | 1 (Satisfactory) |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Student | Student | Student | Student | Student |
| Choice of topic and approach | - demonstrates that the topic is current, clearly meets the needs of working life and is related to the field of study - determines clear and well-defined objectives/problems/tasks - comprehensively describes the development or research method or practice on the basis of reliable sources | - demonstrates that the topic clearly meets the needs of working life and is related to the field of study - determines clear and well-defined objectives/problems/tasks - describes the development or research method or practice on the basis of reliable sources | - demonstrates that the topic is based on the needs of working life and is related to the field of study - determines fairly clear objectives/problems/tasks - describes the development or research method or practice on the basis of sources | - demonstrates that the topic is based on the needs of working life and is related to the field of study - determines objectives/problems/tasks that need to be further specified - describes the development or research method or practice on the basis of scarce sources | - demonstrates that the topic is related to the field of study - determines objectives/problems/tasks that need to be further specified - describes the development or research method or practice on the basis of scarce sources |
| Use of theoretical basis | - describes the central concepts on the basis of comprehensive, essential and up-to-date information - examines and uses earlier information in a critical and analytical manner - utilises extensive source material that is essentially related to the thesis - prepares a logical and clear structure that is suitable for the thesis | - describes the central concepts and methods on the basis of comprehensive earlier information - examines earlier information in an analytical manner - can use sources comprehensively and does not use references in a formalistic manner - utilises extensive source material - prepares a clear structure that is suitable for the thesis | - describes the central concepts and methods on the basis of earlier information - examines earlier information in an analytical manner - uses references partly in a formalistic manner - utilises fairly extensive source material - prepares a fairly clear structure that is suitable for the thesis | - describes the central concepts and methods briefly - examines information on the basis of limited source material - uses references in a formalistic manner - uses source material that is based on textbooks - prepares a structure that is not entirely suitable for the thesis or phenomenon | - describes the central concepts and methods briefly and unclearly - examines information on the basis of limited and partly outdated source material - uses references in a formalistic manner - uses source material that is based on textbooks - prepares an incoherent structure |
| Implementation/Process | describes the selected methods in an analytical and justified manner and uses them skilfully describes the compilation of material or the practice or thesis | - describes the selected methods in a justified manner and can use them - describes the compilation of material or the practice or | describes the selected methods and can use them describes the compilation of material or the practice or thesis process and can give | - describes the selected methods but uses them incoherently - describes the compilation of material or the practice or | - describes the selected methods but uses them incoherently and incorrectly - only partly describes the compilation of material or the |

| | process in an analytical and comprehensive manner and can give reasons for the choices made | thesis process in a comprehensive manner and can give reasons for the choices made | reasons for some of the choices made | thesis process superficially and does not give reasons for the choices made | practice or thesis process and does not give reasons for the choices made |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Reliability and usability of results/outcome | - meets the set objectives very well - attains a professionally significant, feasible result that displays a creative approach - evaluates and describes reliability and quality in an analytical and investigative manner and reports the attainment of the results/outcome transparently | - meets the set objectives well - attains a professionally feasible result that displays a creative approach - evaluates and describes reliability and quality in an investigative manner and reports the attainment of the results/outcome clearly | - meets the set objectives - attains a professionally feasible result - evaluates and describes reliability and quality and reports the attainment of the results/outcome | - partly meets the set objectives - attains a result with professional elements - describes reliability and quality and reports the attainment of the results/outcome partly or superficially | - partly meets the set objectives - attains a result where some professional elements can be recognised - describes reliability and quality and reports the attainment of the results/outcome incoherently or incorrectly |
| Reporting | - reports in a clear and convincing manner - produces structured and correct factual language - layout is very clean and finished - form of report and referencing comply with SAMK's thesis instructions | - reports in a clear and partly convincing manner - produces structured factual language with some linguistic and stylistic faults - layout is very clean and finished - form of report and referencing comply with SAMK's thesis instructions | - reports in a clear manner - produces structured factual language with some linguistic and stylistic faults - layout is clean and finished - form of report and referencing mostly comply with SAMK's thesis instructions | - produces factual language that is somewhat illogical and fragmentary - some linguistic and stylistic faults - layout is clean and finished - form of report and referencing partly comply with SAMK's thesis instructions | - produces factual language with illogical features and linguistic and stylistic faults - layout is clean - form of report and referencing do not comply with SAMK's thesis instructions |
| Development of professional competence | - can evaluate the development of personal competence in a very realistic and critical manner - client gives excellent feedback and student's output will be put into operation - implementation is independent and self-directive - implementation and output demonstrate the student's ability for creative solutions that are credible from a working-life perspective | - can evaluate the development of personal competence in a realistic and critical manner - client gives mainly good feedback and student's output can be expected to be put into operation after small changes - implementation is independent and self-directive - implementation and output demonstrate the student's ability for solutions that are credible from a working-life perspective | - can evaluate the development of personal competence in a realistic manner - client gives mainly good feedback and student's output can be expected to be put into operation after changes - implementation is somewhat independent - implementation and output are credible from a working-life perspective | - can evaluate the development of personal competence in a partly realistic manner - client's feedback points out some shortcomings in the thesis but the output includes elements that can be utilised to some extent - implementation proceeds on the basis of supervision - implementation and output are only partly credible from a working-life perspective | - evaluation of personal competence is insufficient - (potential client's) feedback points out clear shortcomings in the thesis but the output may possibly be utilised - implementation proceeds on the basis of major supervision - implementation and output are only partly credible from a working-life perspective |

Weighting coefficients

| Choice of topic and approach | 10% |
|--|-----|
| Use of theoretical basis | 20% |
| Implementation/Process | 20% |
| Reliability and usability of results/outcome | 20% |
| Reporting | 10% |
| Development of professional competence | 20% |